UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)	
v.	Case No:	DNCW503CR000037-008
TONI GWYNETT JONES	USM No:	17940-058
Date of Original Judgment: April 18, 2005		
Date of Last Amended Judgment: May 4, 2009		ichardson
	Defendant's A	ltorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)		
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,		
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:		
DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of is reduced to		
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANG Original Offense Level: 35 Criminal History Category: III Original Guideline Range: 210-262 months	Amended O Criminal His	Departures) Iffense Level: 33 Itory Category: III Itideline Range: 168-210 months
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELIN □ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline rang □ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less □ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline rang ■ Other (explain): The application of Amendment 750 proves following application of Amendment 706 	ge. the guideline i than the amend e. ides no change	led guideline range.
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS		
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated	d <u>April 18, 2</u>	shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Order Date: June 20, 2012		factor I fortage
Effective Date:		L. Voorhees